UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/610,337	06/30/2003 .	Brian D. Gildea	BP9703US-DV2	2503	
23544 A <b>DD</b> I IED <b>B</b> IC	7590 09/25/2007	EXAMINER			
APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS 500 OLD CONNECTICUT PATH			HORLICK, KENNETH R		
FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		ъ	1637		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	·		09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/610,33	7	GILDEA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	·			
			. Horlick	1637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINg as a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Countries of the solution of the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no even on, period will apply and with the apple of	IIS COMMUNICATION  Int, however, may a reply be tire  If expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·•						
2a)□	·	This action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applic	ation.			•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International E							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•			•			
A44aab	·			•	•			
Attachmer	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	•			
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	)ate				
<i>,</i> —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/2/03.		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/610,337

Art Unit: 1637

1. The specification is objected to because of the following informality: the continuation information must be updated to indicate issue of the parent applications as U.S. patents.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-16 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-5 and 46-72 of U.S. Patent No. 6,485,901. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are an obvious species with respect

Application/Control Number: 10/610,337

Art Unit: 1637

to the patented generic claims. That is, the patented claims cover use of the recited linear beacons in general, while the instant claims cover use of such linear beacons in detecting PCR-amplified nucleic acid, which is an obvious application disclosed in the patent specification.

- 4. Claims 1-16 are free of the prior art, but are rejected for other reasons. No claims are allowable.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R. Horlick whose telephone number is 571-272-0784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kenneth R Horlick Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1637

09/18/07